

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

ORDER

The 6th July, 1983

No. ID/FD/83-83/32020.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Brahm Singh and the management of M/s. Frick India Ltd., 13/3, Mathura road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of the Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Brahm Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/KNL/60-83/32027.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Baru Ram and the management of M/s Executive Engineer, Suburban Division Haryana State Electricity Board, Panipat regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matters to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Baru Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 14th July, 1983

No. ID/FD/105-81/32850.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Abdul Rashid and the management of M/s Curewell (India) Ltd., Plot No. 2, Sector 6, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Abdul Rashid was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/191-83/32857.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sidhu and the management of M/s. Victoria Fabricators, 37-A, Industrial Area N.I.T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sidhu was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?